

App'n No. 10/760270
Amdt. Dated: August 31, 2006
Response to Office Action of July 12, 2006

4

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's further Office Action of July 12, 2006 in the present RCE application, the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the below Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

independent claims 1 and 6 are amended to specify that that upon insertion of the cartridge into the body of the cradle, the cradle and cartridge together form an inkjet printer. Support for this amendment can be found, for example, at page 5, lines 18-28, page 7, lines 12-17 and page 11, lines 23-page 12, line 26 of the present specification; and dependent claims 2-5 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendments to not add any new matter to the present application.

Regarding 35 USC 102(b) Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1 is not disclosed by newly cited Silverbrook (US 6,270,182), for at least the following reasons.

Independent claim 1 has been further amended as discussed above, so as to clarify that in the present invention the body of the cradle 4 is configured so that upon receipt of the removable inkjet cartridge 6, and therefore connection of the power and data interface 58 with the printhead of the cartridge, the cradle and cartridge together form an inkjet printer. In this way, simple and easy assembly of the inkjet printer is provided whilst allowing quick replacement of the cartridge if necessary (see page 3, lines 13-19 and page 12, lines 18-20 of the present specification).

On the other hand, the camera system 1 disclosed by Silverbrook '182 is clearly assembled about the ink supply cartridge 42 rather than the cartridge being inserted into an "inkjet printer cradle" as contended by the Examiner (see col. 17, line 56-col. 18, line 43 and Figs. 16-23 of Silverbrook '182). Thus, Silverbrook '182 does not disclose, or suggest, an

Appln No. 10/760270
Amdt. Dated: August 31, 2006
Response to Office Action of July 12, 2006

5

inkjet printer cradle having a body which is configured to removably receive an ink supply and printhead cartridge so as to form an inkjet printer, as is required by amended independent claims 1 and 6.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claims 1 and 6, and dependent claims 2-5, is not disclosed or suggested by Silverbrook '182.

Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claims 1 and 6, and dependent claims 2-5, is not taught or suggested by Silverbrook '182 in view of any one or more of previously cited Silverbrook '952, Silverbrook '513 and Kakutani either taken alone or in combination with one another, for at least the above-discussed reasons and those previously discussed by the Applicant in the Replies to the previous Office Actions.

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:



Kia Silverbrook

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762